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## Motivating question

Links with Turaev genus one include almost-alternating links and non-alternating pretzel and Montesinos links. Such links are "close" to alternating.

Question. What can we say about the Jones polynomial and Khovanov homology of a Turaev genus one link?

## The Turaev surface

The Turaev surface of a link diagram $D$ is obtained by

1. constructing a cobordism between the all- $A$ and all- $B$ Kauffman states of $D$ that has saddles corresponding with crossings, and
2. capping off the boundary components of the above cobordism with disks.

The Turaev surface at a crossing


## The Turaev surface



## The Turaev genus of a link

- For a connected link diagram $D$, the genus of the Turaev surface is

$$
g_{T}(D)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2+c(D)-s_{A}(D)-s_{B}(D)\right)
$$

where $c(D)$ is the number of crossings in $D$ and $s_{A}(D)$ and $s_{B}(D)$ are the number of components in the all- $A$ and all- $B$ Kauffman states of $D$ respectively.

- The Turaev genus $g_{T}(L)$ of a non-split link $L$ is

$$
g_{T}(L)=\min \left\{g_{T}(D) \mid D \text { is a diagram of } L\right\}
$$

- The Turaev genus of a non-split link is zero if and only if the link is alternating.


## Turaev genus one link

## Theorem (Armond, L.; Kim)

Every non-split Turaev genus one link has a diagram as depicted below where each $R_{i}$ is an alternating tangle and + or - indicates that the first crossing that strand meets is an over or under crossing respectively.


## Example of a Turaev genus one knot



## Almost-alternating link

A non-alternating link is almost-alternating if it has a diagram that can be transformed into an alternating diagram via one crossing change (Adams et al.).


## Adequacy

A link diagram is $A$-adequate if no two arcs in the resolution of the same crossing lie on the same component of the of the all- $A$ Kauffman state. A link is $A$-adequate if it has an $A$-adequate diagram. Similarly define $B$-adequate.


## Almost-alternating, semi-adequacy, mutation

The Turaev genus of an almost-alternating link is one.

Theorem (Armond, L.)
There is a sequence of mutations transforming every Turaev genus one link into an almost-alternating link.

Theorem (Kim)
Every Turaev genus one link is $A$-adequate, $B$-adequate, or almost-alternating.

## The Jones polynomial of an alternating link

Theorem (Kauffman, Thistlethwaite)
Let L be a non-split alternating link with Jones polynomial

$$
V_{L}(t)=a_{m} t^{m}+a_{m+1} t^{m+1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} t^{n-1}+a_{n} t^{n}
$$

where $a_{m}$ and $a_{n}$ are nonzero. Then

- $\left|a_{m}\right|=\left|a_{n}\right|=1$, and
- $a_{i} a_{i+1} \leq 0$ for $i=m, \ldots, n-1$.


## The Jones polynomial of an adequate link

Theorem (Lickorish, Thistlethwaite, Stoimenow)
Let $L$ be a non-split semi-adequate link with Jones polynomial

$$
V_{L}(t)=a_{m} t^{m}+a_{m+1} t^{m+1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} t^{n-1}+a_{n} t^{n}
$$

where $a_{m}$ and $a_{n}$ are nonzero. If $L$ is $A$-adequate, then

$$
\left|a_{m}\right|=1 \text { and } a_{m} a_{m+1} \leq 0
$$

If $L$ is $B$-adequate, then

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|=1 \text { and } a_{n} a_{n-1} \leq 0
$$

## The Jones polynomial of a Turaev genus one link

Theorem (Dasbach, L., Spyropoulos)
Let $L$ be a non-split link of Turaev genus one with Jones polynomial

$$
V_{L}(t)=a_{m} t^{m}+a_{m+1} t^{m+1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} t^{n-1}+a_{n} t^{n}
$$

where $a_{m}$ and $a_{n}$ are nonzero. Then

$$
\left|a_{m}\right|=1 \text { and } a_{m} a_{m+1} \leq 0
$$

or

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|=1 \text { and } a_{n-1} a_{n} \leq 0
$$

## Example $11 n_{95}$



The Jones polynomial of $11 n_{95}$ is

$$
V_{11 n_{95}}(t)=2 t^{2}-3 t^{3}+5 t^{4}-6 t^{5}+6 t^{6}-5 t^{7}+4 t^{8}-2 t^{9}
$$

Since $11 n_{95}$ has a diagram of Turaev genus two, it follows that $g_{T}\left(11 n_{95}\right)=2$.

## Example $15 n_{41133}$



The Jones polynomial of $15 n_{41133}$ is
$t^{4}+t^{5}-3 t^{6}+8 t^{7}-12 t^{8}+14 t^{9}-15 t^{10}+13 t^{11}-10 t^{12}+6 t^{13}-2 t^{14}$.
Thus $g_{T}\left(15 n_{41133}\right) \geq 2$.

## Khovanov homology

Khovanov homology is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module equipped with two gradings $i$ and $j$ such that

$$
\sum_{i, j}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{rank} K h^{i, j}(L) t^{j}=\left(t+t^{-1}\right) V_{L}\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

Example: the (3,4)-torus knot

| $j \backslash i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 11 |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\sum_{i, j}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{rank} K h^{i, j}\left(T_{3,4}\right) t^{j}=-t^{17}-t^{15}+t^{11}+t^{9}+t^{7}+t^{5}$

$$
V_{T_{3,4}}(t)=-t^{8}+t^{5}+t^{3}
$$

## Maximum and minimum gradings

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{\min }(L) & =\min \left\{i \mid K h^{i, j}(L) \neq 0\right\}, \\
i_{\max }(L) & =\max \left\{i \mid K h^{i, j}(L) \neq 0\right\}, \\
j_{\min }(L) & =\min \left\{j \mid K h^{i, j}(L) \neq 0\right\}, \\
j_{\max }(L) & =\max \left\{j \mid K h^{i, j}(L) \neq 0\right\}, \\
\delta_{\min }(L) & =\min \left\{2 i-j \mid K h^{i, j}(L) \neq 0\right\}, \text { and } \\
\delta_{\max }(L) & =\max \left\{2 i-j \mid K h^{i, j}(L) \neq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem (Champanerkar, Kofman, Stoltzfus)
If $L$ is non-split and has Turaev genus one, then

$$
2 \leq \delta_{\max }(L)-\delta_{\min }(L) \leq 4
$$

## Extremal Khovanov homology

- The Khovanov homology of a non-split Turaev genus one link in either its maximal or minimal polynomial grading is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, and this $\mathbb{Z}$ summand must be on a specific diagonal.
- A certain summand of the Khovanov homology of a non-split Turaev genus one link in its near maximal or near minimal polynomial grading is trivial.


## Extremal Khovanov homology

Theorem (Beldon, Dasbach, DeStefano, L., Milgrim, Villaseñor)
Let $L$ be a non-split link with Turaev genus one. Either

1. $K h^{*, j_{\min }(L)}(L) \cong K h^{i_{\min }}(L), j_{\min }(L)(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}$,
2. $2 i_{\text {min }}(L)-j_{\text {min }}(L)=\delta_{\text {min }}(L)+2$, and
3. $K h^{i_{\text {min }}}(L)+2, j_{\text {min }}(L)+2(L)$ is trivial.
or
4. $K h^{*, j_{\max }(L)}(L) \cong K h^{i_{\max }(L), j_{\max }(L)}(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}$,
5. $2 i_{\text {max }}(L)-j_{\max }(L)=\delta_{\text {max }}(L)-2$, and
6. $K h^{i_{\max }(L)-2, j_{\max }(L)-2}(L)$ is trivial.

Example: the (3,4)-torus knot

| $j \backslash i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 11 |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Example: the (3,4)-torus knot

| $j \backslash i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 11 |  |  |  | $1 / 2$ | 1 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Example: $10_{132} \# \overline{10_{132}}$


## Example: $K h\left(10_{132} \# \overline{10_{132}}\right)$

| $j \backslash i$ | -7 | -6 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $1_{2}$ | $1_{2}$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $3,1_{2}$ | 1 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | $3,2_{2}$ | $2_{2}$ |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $3,1_{2}$ | $3,5_{2}$ | $2,2_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $7,1_{2}$ | $8,3_{2}$ | $2,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | $8,1_{2}$ | $4,6_{2}$ | $1,3_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $4,3_{2}$ | $8,6_{2}$ | $3,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -3 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $8,1_{2}$ | $7,3_{2}$ | $1,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -5 |  |  |  | 2 | $3,2_{2}$ | $3,5_{2}$ | $1,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -7 |  |  |  | $3,2_{2}$ | $5,2_{2}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -9 |  | 1 | 3 | $2,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -11 |  | $1_{2}$ | $1,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -13 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example: $11 n_{376}$



| $j \backslash i$ | -7 | -6 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| -5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| -7 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $1_{2}$ |  |
| -9 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $1,2_{2}$ |  |  |
| -11 |  |  |  | 4 | $2,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| -13 |  |  |  | $2,2_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| -15 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -17 |  | $1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -19 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example: $11 n_{376}$



| $j \backslash i$ | -7 | -6 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| -5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| -7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| -9 |  |  |  |  |  | 122 |  |  |
| -11 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  |  |
| -13 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| -15 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example: $14 n_{21152}$

| $j \backslash i$ | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | $1_{2}$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $1,1_{2}$ | 1 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | $2,1_{2}$ | $1_{2}$ |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $1,3_{2}$ | $1,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 3 | $4,2_{2}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | 3 | $2,3_{2}$ | $1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | 1 | $4,2_{2}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1 |  | 2 | $2,1_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -3 |  | $1,2_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Proof ingredients

- Khovanov homology techniques: long exact sequence, direct computation, etc.
- Diagram specific results for Turaev genus one links, especially how they relate to almost-alternating and semi-adequate links.


## Application: Rasmussen's invariant

Let $s(K)$ be the Rasmussen invariant of the knot $K$, and let $c_{-}(D)$ be the number of negative crossings in $D$.

Theorem (Beldon, DeStefano, L., Milgrim, Villaseñor)
Let $D$ be a diagram of the knot K. If $D$ is $A$-adequate, has Turaev genus one, and $c_{-}(D)=2$, then

$$
s(K)=c(D)-s_{A}(D)-1
$$

## Proof

Suppose $D$ is $A$-adequate with two negative crossings and has Turaev genus one.

| $j \backslash i$ | -2 | -1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $j_{\min }+6$ |  |  | $?$ |
| $j_{\min }+4$ |  |  | $?$ |
| $j_{\min }+2$ |  |  |  |
| $j_{\min }$ | 1 |  |  |

## Example



If $R$ is a positive alternating tangle and $D$ is $A$-adequate, then

$$
s(K)=\frac{1}{2}\left(c(D)-s_{A}(D)-1\right) .
$$

Thank you!

