## Measuring a knot's distance from alternating

Adam Lowrance - Vassar College

January 15, 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Distance from alternating

A real valued knot invariant d(K) measures a knot's distance from alternating if

- $d(K) \ge 0$  for every knot K,
- d(K) = 0 if and only if K is alternating, and
- $d(K_1 \# K_2) \leq d(K_1) + d(K_2)$  for all knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Let's meet the invariants

- 1. The dealternating number of K, denoted dalt(K), defined by Adams.
- 2. The alternation number of K, denoted alt(K), defined by Kawauchi.
- 3. The alternating genus of K, denoted  $g_{alt}(K)$ , defined by Adams.
- 4. The Turaev genus of K, denoted  $g_T(K)$ , defined by Turaev and Dasbach et. al.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# The dealternating number

Let D be a diagram of K. The dealternating number of D, denoted dalt(D), is the minimum number of crossing changes required to transform D into an alternating diagram.



The dealternating number of K is defined as

 $dalt(K) = min\{dalt(D) \mid D \text{ is a diagram of } K\}.$ 

## The alternation number

Let D be a diagram of K. The alternation number of D, denoted alt(D), is the minimum number of crossing changes required to transform D into a (possibly non-alternating) diagram of an alternating knot.



The alternation number of K is defined as

 $\operatorname{alt}(K) = \min{\operatorname{alt}(D) \mid D \text{ is a diagram of } K}.$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Our first inequality

$$\operatorname{alt}(K) \leq \operatorname{dalt}(K)$$

**Question.** Are there knots where dalt(K) - alt(K) is arbitrarily large?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Answer. Yes, more on this later.

# The alternating genus

Let  $\Sigma$  be a Heegaard surface in  $S^3$ . Suppose that K lies in a neighborhood  $\Sigma \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  of  $\Sigma$ , and let  $\pi : \Sigma \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \to \Sigma$  be the projection map. Suppose that

- $\pi(K)$  is alternating on  $\Sigma$ , and
- $\Sigma \pi(K)$  is a collection of disks.

The alternating genus of K, denoted  $g_{alt}(K)$ , is the minimum genus surface onto which K has such a projection.

#### The Turaev surface

Every knot diagram D has an associated Turaev surface  $\Sigma_D$  constructed as follows. Think of the diagram D as a subset of  $S^2$ , which itself is a subset of  $S^3$ . Replace the crossings of D with saddles so that the A-smoothing lies on one side of  $S^2$  and the B-smoothing lies on the other side of  $S^2$ . Replace the arcs of D not near crossings with bands orthogonal to  $S^2$ . The resulting surface is a cobordism between the all-A Kauffman state and the all-B Kauffman state. The Turaev surface  $\Sigma_D$  is obtained by capping off the boundary components of this cobordism with disks.

# A picture is worth a thousand (really 96) words



# A picture is worth a thousand (really 96) words



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ 注目 のへで



#### The Turaev genus of K, denoted $g_T(K)$ , is defined as

 $g_T(K) = \min\{g(\Sigma_D) \mid D \text{ is a diagram of } K\}.$ 



# Another inequality

Dasbach, Futer, Kalfagianni, Lin, and Stoltzfus proved that

- the Turaev surface  $\Sigma_D$  is a Heegaard surface in  $S^3$ ,
- K has an alternating projection to  $\Sigma_D$ , and
- the complement of the projection of K to  $\Sigma_D$  is a collection of disks.

Resulting inequality.

$$g_{\mathsf{alt}}(K) \leq g_{\mathcal{T}}(K).$$

**Question.** Are there knots where  $g_T(K) - g_{alt}(K)$  is arbitrarily large?

Answer. Yes, again more on this later.

# Abe's inequality

By studying the behavior of the Turaev surface under crossing changes, Abe proved that

 $g_T(K) \leq \operatorname{dalt}(K).$ 

**Question.** Are there knots where  $dalt(K) - g_T(K)$  is arbitrarily large? **Easier Question.** Are there knots where  $g_T(K) < dalt(K)$ ?

Answer to both. I don't know.

# Our inequalities



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### What we will show

Let  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  be two different measures of a knot's distance from alternating. We decorate the previous slide with a line from  $d_1$  to  $d_2$  as follows.

- Strict (\_\_\_\_\_): d<sub>1</sub>(K) ≥ d<sub>2</sub>(K) for all K and there exists a knot K' with d<sub>1</sub>(K') > d<sub>2</sub>(K').
- Possibly strict (<sup>-?→</sup>): d<sub>1</sub>(K) ≥ d<sub>2</sub>(K) for all K and it is unknown whether there exists a knot K' with d<sub>1</sub>(K') > d<sub>2</sub>(K').
- Incomparable ( $\longrightarrow$ ): There exists knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that  $d_1(K_1) < d_2(K_1)$  and  $d_1(K_2) > d_2(K_2)$ .

• Possibly comparable  $(\stackrel{?}{\longrightarrow})$ : It is unknown whether  $d_1(K) \ge d_2(K)$  for all knots.

#### What we will show



# Our invariants and iterated Whitehead doubles

#### Theorem

Let  $W_k$  be the untwisted k-th iterated Whitehead double of the Figure-8 knot. Then  $g_{alt}(W_k) > 1$  for all k. Also

$$g_{\mathcal{T}}(W_k) - \mathit{alt}(W_k) o \infty$$
 as  $k o \infty$ 

and consequently

$$dalt(W_k) - alt(W_k) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Proof

Adams showed that if K is prime and  $g_{alt}(K) = 1$ , then K is a hyperbolic knot. Since  $W_k$  is a prime, non-alternating, satellite knot, it follows that  $g_{alt}(W_k) > 1$  for all k.

### Proof, continued

For each k, we have  $alt(W_k) = 1$  since the unknotting number of  $W_k$  is one for all k.



### Proof, continued

- Hedden proved that the width of the knot Floer homology of W<sub>k</sub>, denoted width(HFK(W<sub>k</sub>)), is k.
- For any knot K,

width
$$(\widehat{HFK}(K)) - 1 \leq g_T(K).$$

- Thus  $g_T(W_k) \ge k 1$ , and so  $g_T(W_k) \operatorname{alt}(W_k) \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ .
- Abe's inequality (g<sub>T</sub>(K) ≤ dalt(K)) implies that dalt(W<sub>k</sub>) - alt(W<sub>k</sub>) → ∞ as k → ∞.

### What we've shown so far



# A modified torus knot $T_{p,q}$

Let  $B_p$  be the braid group on *p*-strands, and let  $\Delta_p \in B_p$  denote the braid

$$\Delta_p = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_{p-1}.$$

Let  $\Delta_p$  denote the braid

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{p} = \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{3} \cdots \sigma_{p-1}^{(-1)^{p}}.$$

Assume p and q are positive and coprime. Then the (p, q)-torus knot  $T_{p,q}$  is the closure of the braid  $(\Delta_p)^q$ . Define  $\widetilde{T}_{p,q}$  to be the closure of the braid

$$(\Delta_p)^{(q-1)}\widetilde{\Delta}_p.$$





・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ モト ・ モト・

æ







#### Theorem

Let p and q be coprime integers both greater than 2. For any such fixed p,

$$g_{\mathcal{T}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{
ho,q}) - g_{
m alt}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{
ho,q}) o \infty$$
 as  $q o \infty$ 

and

$$\mathsf{alt}(\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{p,q}) - \mathsf{g}_{\mathsf{alt}}(\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{p,q}) o \infty ext{ as } q o \infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Sketch of proof

We see in the following picture that  $g_{alt}(\widetilde{T}_{4,7}) = 1$ .



・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

э

One can show that  $g_{alt}(\widetilde{T}_{p,q}) = 1$  similarly.

# Sketch of proof, continued

Alternation number and Turaev genus have a common lower bound:

$$\frac{|s(K) + \sigma(K)|}{2} \leq \operatorname{alt}(K) \text{ (due to Abe)}$$
$$\frac{|s(K) + \sigma(K)|}{2} \leq g_{\mathcal{T}}(K) \text{ (due to Dasbach-L.)}$$

where s(K) is the Rasmussen invariant and  $\sigma(K)$  is the signature of the knot.

One can show that this lower bound goes to infinity as  $q \to \infty$ .  $\Box$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Summary

