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## Distance from alternating

A real valued knot invariant $d(K)$ measures a knot's distance from alternating if

- $d(K) \geq 0$ for every knot $K$,
- $d(K)=0$ if and only if $K$ is alternating, and
- $d\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right) \leq d\left(K_{1}\right)+d\left(K_{2}\right)$ for all knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$.


## Let's meet the invariants

1. The dealternating number of $K$, denoted dalt $(K)$, defined by Adams.
2. The alternation number of $K$, denoted alt $(K)$, defined by Kawauchi.
3. The alternating genus of $K$, denoted $g_{\text {alt }}(K)$, defined by Adams.
4. The Turaev genus of $K$, denoted $g_{T}(K)$, defined by Turaev and Dasbach et. al.

## The dealternating number

Let $D$ be a diagram of $K$. The dealternating number of $D$, denoted dalt $(D)$, is the minimum number of crossing changes required to transform $D$ into an alternating diagram.


The dealternating number of $K$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{dalt}(K)=\min \{\operatorname{dalt}(D) \mid D \text { is a diagram of } K\}
$$

## The alternation number

Let $D$ be a diagram of $K$. The alternation number of $D$, denoted $\operatorname{alt}(D)$, is the minimum number of crossing changes required to transform $D$ into a (possibly non-alternating) diagram of an alternating knot.


The alternation number of $K$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{alt}(K)=\min \{\operatorname{alt}(D) \mid D \text { is a diagram of } K\} .
$$

## Our first inequality

$$
\operatorname{alt}(K) \leq \operatorname{dalt}(K)
$$

Question. Are there knots where dalt $(K)-\operatorname{alt}(K)$ is arbitrarily large?
Answer. Yes, more on this later.

## The alternating genus

Let $\Sigma$ be a Heegaard surface in $S^{3}$. Suppose that $K$ lies in a neighborhood $\Sigma \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ of $\Sigma$, and let $\pi: \Sigma \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the projection map. Suppose that

- $\pi(K)$ is alternating on $\Sigma$, and
- $\Sigma-\pi(K)$ is a collection of disks.

The alternating genus of $K$, denoted $g_{\text {alt }}(K)$, is the minimum genus surface onto which $K$ has such a projection.

## The Turaev surface

Every knot diagram $D$ has an associated Turaev surface $\Sigma_{D}$ constructed as follows. Think of the diagram $D$ as a subset of $S^{2}$, which itself is a subset of $S^{3}$. Replace the crossings of $D$ with saddles so that the $A$-smoothing lies on one side of $S^{2}$ and the $B$-smoothing lies on the other side of $S^{2}$. Replace the arcs of $D$ not near crossings with bands orthogonal to $S^{2}$. The resulting surface is a cobordism between the all- $A$ Kauffman state and the all- $B$ Kauffman state. The Turaev surface $\Sigma_{D}$ is obtained by capping off the boundary components of this cobordism with disks.
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## Turaev genus

The Turaev genus of $K$, denoted $g_{T}(K)$, is defined as

$$
g_{T}(K)=\min \left\{g\left(\Sigma_{D}\right) \mid D \text { is a diagram of } K\right\} .
$$

## Another inequality

Dasbach, Futer, Kalfagianni, Lin, and Stoltzfus proved that

- the Turaev surface $\Sigma_{D}$ is a Heegaard surface in $S^{3}$,
- $K$ has an alternating projection to $\Sigma_{D}$, and
- the complement of the projection of $K$ to $\Sigma_{D}$ is a collection of disks.
Resulting inequality.

$$
g_{\mathrm{alt}}(K) \leq g_{T}(K)
$$

Question. Are there knots where $g_{T}(K)-g_{\text {alt }}(K)$ is arbitrarily large?
Answer. Yes, again more on this later.

## Abe's inequality

By studying the behavior of the Turaev surface under crossing changes, Abe proved that

$$
g_{T}(K) \leq \operatorname{dalt}(K)
$$

Question. Are there knots where $\operatorname{dalt}(K)-g_{T}(K)$ is arbitrarily large?
Easier Question. Are there knots where $g_{T}(K)<\operatorname{dalt}(K)$ ? Answer to both. I don't know.

## Our inequalities



## What we will show

Let $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ be two different measures of a knot's distance from alternating. We decorate the previous slide with a line from $d_{1}$ to $d_{2}$ as follows.

- Strict $(\longrightarrow): d_{1}(K) \geq d_{2}(K)$ for all $K$ and there exists a knot $K^{\prime}$ with $d_{1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)>d_{2}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$.
- Possibly strict $(-$ ? $\rightarrow): d_{1}(K) \geq d_{2}(K)$ for all $K$ and it is unknown whether there exists a knot $K^{\prime}$ with $d_{1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)>d_{2}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$.
- Incomparable $(\longleftrightarrow)$ ): There exists knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ such that $d_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)<d_{2}\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $d_{1}\left(K_{2}\right)>d_{2}\left(K_{2}\right)$.
- Possibly comparable $(-$ ? $\rightarrow$ ): It is unknown whether $d_{1}(K) \geq d_{2}(K)$ for all knots.


## What we will show


$\qquad$
$\longleftrightarrow$ incomparable

## Our invariants and iterated Whitehead doubles

Theorem
Let $W_{k}$ be the untwisted $k$-th iterated Whitehead double of the Figure-8 knot. Then $g_{a l t}\left(W_{k}\right)>1$ for all $k$. Also

$$
g_{T}\left(W_{k}\right)-\operatorname{alt}\left(W_{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and consequently

$$
\operatorname{dalt}\left(W_{k}\right)-\operatorname{alt}\left(W_{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

## Proof

Adams showed that if $K$ is prime and $g_{\text {alt }}(K)=1$, then $K$ is a hyperbolic knot. Since $W_{k}$ is a prime, non-alternating, satellite knot, it follows that $g_{\text {alt }}\left(W_{k}\right)>1$ for all $k$.

## Proof, continued

For each $k$, we have $\operatorname{alt}\left(W_{k}\right)=1$ since the unknotting number of $W_{k}$ is one for all $k$.


## Proof, continued

- Hedden proved that the width of the knot Floer homology of $W_{k}$, denoted width $\left(\overparen{H F K}\left(W_{k}\right)\right)$, is $k$.
- For any knot $K$,

$$
\text { width }(\widehat{H F K}(K))-1 \leq g_{T}(K)
$$

- Thus $g_{T}\left(W_{k}\right) \geq k-1$, and so $g_{T}\left(W_{k}\right)-\operatorname{alt}\left(W_{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Abe's inequality $\left(g_{T}(K) \leq \operatorname{dalt}(K)\right)$ implies that $\operatorname{dalt}\left(W_{k}\right)-\operatorname{alt}\left(W_{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.


## What we've shown so far



## A modified torus knot $\widetilde{T}_{p, q}$

Let $B_{p}$ be the braid group on $p$-strands, and let $\Delta_{p} \in B_{p}$ denote the braid

$$
\Delta_{p}=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \cdots \sigma_{p-1}
$$

Let $\widetilde{\Delta}_{p}$ denote the braid

$$
\widetilde{\Delta}_{p}=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{3} \cdots \sigma_{p-1}^{(-1)^{p}}
$$

Assume $p$ and $q$ are positive and coprime. Then the $(p, q)$-torus knot $T_{p, q}$ is the closure of the braid $\left(\Delta_{p}\right)^{q}$. Define $\widetilde{T}_{p, q}$ to be the closure of the braid

$$
\left(\Delta_{p}\right)^{(q-1)} \widetilde{\Delta}_{p}
$$

Example: $\widetilde{T}_{4,3}$
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## $\widetilde{T}_{p, q}$ and our invariants

Theorem
Let $p$ and $q$ be coprime integers both greater than 2. For any such fixed $p$,

$$
g_{T}\left(\widetilde{T}_{p, q}\right)-g_{a l t}\left(\widetilde{T}_{p, q}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } q \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{alt}\left(\widetilde{T}_{p, q}\right)-g_{a l t}\left(\widetilde{T}_{p, q}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } q \rightarrow \infty
$$

## Sketch of proof

We see in the following picture that $g_{\text {alt }}\left(\widetilde{T}_{4,7}\right)=1$.


One can show that $g_{\text {alt }}\left(\widetilde{T}_{p, q}\right)=1$ similarly.

## Sketch of proof, continued

Alternation number and Turaev genus have a common lower bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|s(K)+\sigma(K)|}{2} \leq \text { alt }(K) \text { (due to Abe) } \\
& \frac{|s(K)+\sigma(K)|}{2} \leq g_{T}(K) \text { (due to Dasbach-L.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s(K)$ is the Rasmussen invariant and $\sigma(K)$ is the signature of the knot.
One can show that this lower bound goes to infinity as $q \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

## Summary


$\longrightarrow$ inequality
$\qquad$
$\longleftrightarrow$ incomparable

