The Jones polynomial of almost-alternating and Turaev genus one links

Adam Lowrance - Vassar College

December 10, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Construction of the Turaev surface F(D)

- Replace arcs of *D* not near crossings with bands transverse to the projection plane.
- 2 Replace crossings of D with saddles interpolating between the all-B and all-A states of D
- **3** Cap off the boundary components with disks to obtain F(D).

The Turaev surface in pictures



Turaev genus

- For a diagram D of a link L, let g_T(D) denote the genus of the Turaev surface F(D).
- The Turaev genus $g_T(L)$ of the link L is

 $g_T(L) = \min\{g_T(D) \mid D \text{ is a diagram of } L\}.$

Some history of the Turaev surface

- Turaev (1987) related F(D) to the difference between the span of the Jones polynomial and the crossing number.
- DFKLS (2006) The Jones polynomial is an evaluation of the Bollobás-Riordan-Tutte polynomial of a graph embedded on the Turaev surface.
- CKS (2007), DL (2007, 2009, 2015) Connections with Khovanov homology and knot Floer homology.
- Kalfagianni (2016) Characterization of adequate knots using the colored Jones polynomial and Turaev genus.

Classification of links of Turaev genus one



Theorem (Armond, L.; Kim)

- Let R₁,..., R_{2k} be alternating two-tangles, and let D be a link diagram connecting R₁,..., R_{2k} as depicted above. Then g_T(D) = 1.
- 2 Moreover, if L is a non-split link with $g_T(L) = 1$, then L has a diagram as above.

Examples of Turaev genus one links

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Some examples of Turaev genus one links are:

- non-alternating pretzel links,
- non-alternating Montesinos links,
- almost-alternating links.

Almost-alternating links

- A link diagram *D* is almost-alternating if one crossing change transforms *D* into an alternating diagram (Adams et al. 1992).
- A link *L* is almost-alternating if it is non-alternating and has an almost-alternating diagram.
- If L is almost-alternating, then $g_T(L) = 1$. The converse is open.

Mutation

- Let *B* be a 3-ball whose boundary intersects the link *L* in exactly 4-points. A mutation of *L* is a link obtained by removing *B* from S^3 , rotating it 180° about a principle axis, and then gluing *B* back into S^3 .
- Any two links related to one another via a sequence of mutations are said to be mutant to one another.

Theorem (Armond, L.)

If $g_T(L) = 1$, then L is mutant to an almost-alternating link.

Mutation proof







Mutation proof continued



<□> <□> <□> <三> <三> <三> <三> ○へ⊙

Jones polynomial results

Let $V_L(t) = a_m t^m + a_{m+1} t^{m+1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1} + a_n t^n$ be the Jones polynomial of L where a_m and a_n are nonzero.

Theorem (Dasbach, L.)

If L is almost-alternating, then either $|a_m| = 1$ or $|a_n| = 1$ (or both equal 1).

Corollary

If $g_T(L) = 1$, then either $|a_m| = 1$ or $|a_n| = 1$ (or both equal 1).

Numerator and denominator



A tangle R, its numerator closure N(R) and its denominator closure D(R).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Adjacent faces



- Let adj(u₁, u₂) be the number of faces of N(R) adjacent to both u₁ and u₂.
- Let adj(v₁, v₂) be the number of faces of D(R) adjacent to both v₁ and v₂.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Example



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Non-minimal almost-alternating diagrams

Lemma

Let D be an almost-alternating diagram of a non-alternating link L. If $adj(u_1, u_2) = adj(v_1, v_2) = 1$, then L has an almost-alternating diagram with fewer crossings than D.

If $adj(u_1, u_2) = adj(v_1, v_2) = 1$, then D has diagram as below.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ







▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 … のへで





Resolutions of an almost-alternating diagram

If D is almost-alternating, then the resolutions of the almost-alternating crossing N(R) and D(R) are alternating.



Jones polynomial proof

- $\langle D \rangle = A \langle D(R) \rangle + A^{-1} \langle N(R) \rangle.$
- Both D(R) and N(R) are alternating diagrams.
- Dasbach and Lin (2006) describe the first few coefficients of the Kauffman bracket of an alternating link in terms of the checkerboard graph.
- The (potential) leading and trailing coefficients of $\langle D \rangle$ are $|\operatorname{adj}(u_1, u_2) 1|$ and $|\operatorname{adj}(v_1, v_2) 1|$.

The example ... again



If $adj(u_1, u_2) \ge 3$, then $adj(v_1, v_2) = 0$, and if $adj(v_1, v_2) \ge 3$, then $adj(u_1, u_2) = 0$.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

The leading and trailing coefficients

- We want either $|\operatorname{adj}(u_1, u_2) 1| = 1$ or $|\operatorname{adj}(v_1, v_2) 1| = 1$.
- Let *D* be an almost-alternating diagram of *L* with the fewest number of crossings among all almost-alternating diagrams of *L*.
- Lemma says at least one of adj(u₁, u₂) or adj(v₁, v₂) is not one. Say adj(u₁, u₂) ≠ 1.
- If $adj(u_1, u_2) = 0$ or 2, and we are done. If $adj(u_1, u_2) \ge 3$, then $adj(v_1, v_2) = 0$, and we are done.

An example: 12n375



 $V_{12n375}(t) = 2t^2 - 4t^3 + 8t^4 - 9t^5 + 10t^6 - 10t^7 + 7t^8 - 5t^9 + 2t^{10}$

Low crossing results

 Among all knots with 12 or fewer crossings, it is unknown whether 37 of them are almost-alternating or have Turaev genus one (according to Knot Info).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Our work shows that 11 of these 37 knots are not almost-alternating and do not have Turaev genus one.

Minimum crossing almost-alternating diagrams

- If adj(u₁, u₂) ≠ 1 and adj(v₁, v₂) ≠ 1, then D has the fewest possible crossings among almost-alternating diagrams of L.
- If $adj(u_1, u_2) = 1$ and $adj(v_1, v_2) = 1$, then L has an almost-alternating diagram with fewer crossings.
- If only one of $\operatorname{adj}(u_1, u_2)$ or $\operatorname{adj}(v_1, v_2)$ is equal to one, then the minimum number of crossings in an almost-alternating diagram is open.

Khovanov homology generalization

Let j_{\min} and j_{\max} be the least and greatest polynomial grading where the Khovanov homology of L is non-trivial.

Theorem (Dasbach, L.)

If L is almost-alternating or Turaev genus one, then either $Kh^{*,j_{min}}(L)$ or $Kh^{*,j_{max}}(L)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

Note. The proof relies on the long exact sequence in Khovanov homology, and so the result holds for odd Khovanov homology as well.

Semi-adequate links



- A link diagram *D* is *A*-adequate if its all-*A* state graph *G* contains no loops. Similarly define *B*-adequate.
- A link is semi-adequate if it has a diagram that is either *A*-adequate or *B*-adequate.

Semi-adequate and almost-alternating

- Lickorish, Thistlethwaite (1988) The leading or trailing coefficient of the Jones polynomial of a semi-adequate link is ± 1 .
- Khovanov (2002) One of the two extremal polynomial gradings of the Khovanov homology of a semi-adequate link is isomorphic to Z.
- **Open question.** Are all almost-alternating or Turaev genus one links semi-adequate?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Happy Birthday Scott!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ